### Waves generated by horizontally oscillating bottom disturbances Yongbo Chen<sup>a</sup>, Masoud Hayatdavoodi<sup>a,b</sup>, Binbin Zhao<sup>a</sup> and R. Cengiz Ertekin<sup>a,c</sup>

a. College of Shipbuilding Engineering, Harbin Engineering University, Harbin, China

b. School of Science and Engineering, University of Dundee, Dundee DD1 4HN, UK

c. Department of Ocean & Resources Engineering, University of Hawaii, HI 96822, USA

Email: chenyongbo@hrbeu.edu.cn

# Introduction

Moving disturbances on the seafloor are used as wave makers to generate long waves. Past studies on generation of periodic waves by moving disturbances on the seafloor are rare. The problem was investigated by Chen et al. (2022) who considered a vertically oscillating disturbance. In this work, attention is confined to generation of periodic waves by horizontally oscillating disturbances on the seafloor by use of the Level I Green-Naghdi (GN) equations. The GN results are compared with the results of the computational fluid dynamics (CFD) method, and very good agreement is observed. Then a range of variables, including geometrical shape of the disturbance and disturbance oscillations, are considered to assess their effect on the waves generated. It is shown that regular linear and nonlinear waves can be generated by horizontal oscillations of bottom disturbances.

## The level I GN Equations

By postulating a kinematic assumption over a deformable fluid sheet, Green & Naghdi (1976) developed the GN equations to study nonlinear wave propagation in an inviscid and incompressible fluid. The GN equations are classified based on the functions used to prescribe the distribution of vertical velocity over the water column. In the Level I GN equations, the vertical velocity field is distributed linearly and the horizontal velocity is constant over the water column. The GN equations of any levels satisfy the nonlinear boundary conditions and conservation of mass and postulates conservation of momentum in an integrated manner. There is no further kinematic limitation for the flow field in the theory i.e. the fluid flow can be rotational.

In this study, a deformable fluid sheet is established in two dimensions. The right-hand side Cartesian coordinate system is used, where  $x_1$  points towards the right and  $x_2$  points upwards, against the gravity. Ertekin (1984) provided a compact form of the Level I GN governing equations as

$$\eta_{,t} + \{(h+\eta-\alpha)u_1\}_{,x_1} = \alpha_{,t},\tag{1}$$

$$\dot{u}_1 + g\eta_{,x_1} + \frac{\hat{p}_{,x_1}}{\rho} = -\frac{1}{6} \{ [2\eta + \alpha]_{,x_1} \ddot{\alpha} + [4\eta - \alpha]_{,x_1} \ddot{\eta} + (h + \eta - \alpha) [\ddot{\alpha} + 2\ddot{\eta}]_{,x_1} \},\tag{2}$$

$$u_2(x_1, x_2, t) = \dot{\alpha} + \frac{x_2 + h - \alpha}{h + \eta - \alpha} (\dot{\eta} - \dot{\alpha}),$$
(3)

where  $\eta$  is the surface elevation, measured from the still-water level (SWL), h is the constant water depth,  $\alpha$  is the bottom deformation,  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  are the horizontal and vertical velocities, respectively, g is the gravity acceleration,  $\rho$  is the water density,  $\hat{p}$  is the pressure on the top surface of the fluid sheet. The subscripts after comma indicates partial differentiation with respect to the corresponding variables. For an arbitrary variable  $\theta$ ,  $\dot{\theta}$  and  $\ddot{\theta}$ , represent the first-order and second-order material derivatives, respectively. All variables in this study are dimensionless by using  $\rho$ , g and h, as a dimensionally independent set, and thus we write

$$x'_{1} = \frac{x_{1}}{h}, \quad \eta' = \frac{\eta}{h}, \quad \alpha' = \frac{\alpha}{h}, \quad u'_{1} = \frac{u_{1}}{\sqrt{gh}}, \quad t' = t\sqrt{\frac{g}{h}}.$$
 (4)

The superscript  $(\prime)$  are removed from all variables for simplicity.

#### Horizontally oscillating bottom disturbance

In this study, the moving bottom disturbance oscillates harmonically in the horizontal direction. Hence, attention is confined to the continuous moving disturbance on the seafloor, as shown in Fig. 1. The bottom disturbance,  $\alpha(x_1, t)$ , is an arbitrary continuous function of  $x_1$  and t. In this study, we use the following function to describe the deformation of the seafloor, although this can be any continuous function in general,

$$\alpha(x_1, t) = A_0 \times R(x_1, t) \times D(x_1, t), \qquad (5)$$



Figure 1: The sketch of the problem of waves generated by an arbitrary-shaped continuous bottom disturbance,  $\alpha(x_1, t)$ .  $x_s$  and  $x_e$  are the starting and ending positions of the bottom disturbance, and  $L_s = x_e - x_s$  is the disturbance length, and  $A_0$  is the constant disturbance amplitude.

where  $A_0$  is the amplitude of the bottom disturbance,  $D(x_1, t) = \operatorname{sech}^2(x_1 - x_0)$ , where  $x_0(t) = X_0 + A_h \sin(\omega t)$  is the instantaneous horizontal location of the center of the disturbance, and  $X_0$  is the initial location of the disturbance center.  $A_h$  and  $\omega$  are the oscillation amplitude (in the horizontal direction) and oscillation frequency of the disturbance, respectively.  $R(x_1, t) = e^{\frac{-(x_1 - x_0)^2}{\sigma^2}}$  is the ramp function, where  $\sigma = \frac{L_s}{4}$  is constant, controlling the effective length of  $R(x_1, t)$ .

Due to the moving bottom, spatial and time derivatives of  $\alpha$  are specified and substituted into Eqs.(1) and (2)

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{,t} &= A_0 \times (R_{,t}D + RD_{,t}), \quad \alpha_{,tt} = A_0 \times (R_{,tt}D + 2R_{,t}D_{,t} + RD_{,tt}) \\ \alpha_{,x_1t} &= A_0 \times (R_{,x_1t}D + R_{,t}D_{,x_1} + R, x_1D_{,t} + RD_{,x_1t}), \\ \alpha_{,x_1x_1t} &= A_0 \times (R_{,x_1x_1t}D + 2R_{,x_1t}D_{,x_1} + 2R_{,x_1}D_{,x_1t} + R_{,t}D_{,x_1x_1} + R_{,x_1x_1}D_{,t} + RD_{,x_1x_1}), \\ \alpha_{,x_1tt} &= A_0 \times (R_{,x_1tt}D + 2R_{,x_1t}D_{,t} + 2R_{,t}D_{,x_1t} + R_{,tt}D_{,x_1} + R_{,x_1}D_{,tt} + RD_{,x_1x_1}), \\ \alpha_{,x_1} &= A_0 \times (R_{,x_1}D + RD_{,x_1}), \quad \alpha_{,x_1x_1} &= A_0 * (R_{,x_1x_1}D + 2R_{,x_1}D_{,x_1} + RD_{,x_1x_1}), \\ \alpha_{,x_1x_1x_1} &= A_0 \times (R_{,x_1x_1x_1}D + 3R_{,x_1x_1}D_{,x_1} + 3R_{,x_1}D_{,x_1x_1} + RD_{,x_1x_1x_1}). \end{aligned}$$
(6)

The term  $\alpha_{,t}$  contributes to the right hand side of Eq. (1) while the others terms are expanded by Eq. (2), see Chen et al. (2022).

The domain of the deformable fluid sheet is discretized into a set of mesh points and all continuous variables are approximated by the discrete values on the mesh by using the finite difference method. The spatial derivatives are determined by use of the second-order central difference method and the Modified Euler Method is used for time marching.

#### **Results and Discussion**

Surface elevation time series, recorded at gauges GI, GII, GIII and GIV, whose locations are shown in Fig. 1, are given in Fig. 2. Results are compared with those obtained by use of the CFD results obtained by use of OpenFOAM. It is observed that clean regular waves are generated by the horizontally oscillating bottom disturbance, and the waves keep their forms as they propagate along the tank. Overall, results calculated by the GN model are close to those of the CFD method.

Snapshots of bottom disturbances and wave profiles at different times for different  $A_0$  values are shown in Fig. 3. Also, Fig. 4 shows the time series of surface elevation for a range of  $A_0$  values. Shown in Figs. 3 and 4, amplitudes of the generated waves appear to be linearly proportional to  $A_0$ .

Time series of surface elevation for different Ls values are shown in Fig. 5. It is observed that wave amplitudes vary nonlinearly with  $L_s$ . Wave amplitudes generally increase with longer  $L_s$ . However, we find that wave amplitudes do not change remarkably with increasing  $L_s$ , when  $L_s \geq 8$ .

Time series of surface elevation for various  $A_h$  values are shown in Fig. 6. We see that wave amplitudes vary nonlinearly with the oscillation amplitude  $A_h$ . Wave amplitudes generated by the disturbance increase with the increasing oscillation amplitude, but dependence of the wave amplitudes on  $A_h$  becomes insignificant when  $A_h \ge 0.8$ .



Figure 2: Comparisons of surface elevation recorded at gauges GI, GII, GIII and GIV, by the GN and CFD approaches.  $L_s = 4$ ,  $A_0 = 0.1$ ,  $A_h = 0.8$ , T = 6, and  $T = \frac{2\pi}{\omega}$  is the oscillation period.



Figure 3: Snapshots of (a-d) bottom disturbances and (e-h) wave profiles at  $t = t_0$ ,  $t = t_0 + \frac{T}{4}$ ,  $t = t_0 + \frac{2T}{4}$ , and  $t = t_0 + \frac{3T}{4}$ , for various geometry amplitudes  $A_0$ .  $L_s = 4$ ,  $A_h = 0.8$ , T = 6.



Figure 4: Time series of surface elevation recorded at gauges GI, GII, GIII and GIV, for various geometry amplitudes  $A_0$ .  $L_s = 4$ ,  $A_h = 0.8$ , T = 6.



Figure 5: Time series of surface elevation recorded at gauges GI, GII, GIII and GIV, for various geometry length  $L_s$ .  $A_0 = 0.1$ ,  $A_h = 0.8$ , T = 6.



Figure 6: Time series of surface elevation recorded at gauges GI, GII, GIII and GIV, for a variety of oscillation amplitudes  $A_h$ .  $L_s = 4$ ,  $A_0 = 0.1$ , T = 6.

Time series of surface elevation for different T values are shown in Fig. 7. It is shown that wave amplitudes are nearly invariant with T. Wave peak values of T = 6 are slightly larger than that of T = 5 and T = 7 while wave trough values of T = 6 are much closer to the other two cases.



Figure 7: Time series of surface elevation recorded at gauges GI, GII, GIII and GIV, for a variety of oscillation periods T.  $L_s = 4$ ,  $A_0 = 0.1$ ,  $A_h = 0.8$ .

### References

- Chen, Y. B., Hayatdavoodi, M., Zhao, B. B. & Ertekin, R. C. (2022), Waves generated by moving disturbances on the sea floor, *in* '37th International Workshop on Water Waves and Floating Bodies (IWWWFB), April 10-13, Giardini Naxos, Italy'.
- Ertekin, R. C. (1984), Soliton Generation by moving disturbances in shallow water: theory, computation and experiment, Ph.D. Dissertation, University of California, Berkeley.
- Green, A. E. & Naghdi, P. M. (1976), 'A derivation of equations for wave propagation in water of variable depth', *Journal* of Fluid Mechanics **78**(2), 237–246.