### 2.1 Open water region

In the open water region away from the platform, we ignore turbulence and viscosity. The dimensionless potential function $\phi$ satisfies the Laplace equation $\nabla^{2} \phi=0$. Considering harmonic waves, linearity of the problem allows separation of the time factor as $(\phi, p)=(\Phi, P) e^{-i t}$. The incoming wave is from $X=-\infty$ towards the platform located between $X= \pm L$. Considering an incident wave in form of $\zeta=\mathcal{A} e^{i k(X+L)-i t}$. In terms of eigenfunctions, the potential in the exterior regions, $\Phi=\Phi_{I}+\Phi_{R}$ and $\Phi=\Phi_{T}$ in each sides, can be expresses as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{I}=-f_{0} e^{-k_{0}(X+L)}, \quad \Phi_{R}=-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{n} f_{n} e^{k_{n}(X+L)} \quad \Phi_{T}=-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n} f_{n} e^{-k_{n}(X-L)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $k_{0}=-i k, k_{n} \tan k_{n} h=-k \tanh k h, n=1,2, \cdots . c_{0}$ and $b_{0}$ represent the reflection and transmission coefficients, respectively.

### 2.2 Viscous flow region beneath the platform

We assume constant eddy viscosity $\nu_{e}$ for turbulent flow inside cylinder array region. For infinitesimal waves, the three dimensional flows are governed by the linearized Reynolds equations. Then the normalized continuity equation reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{i}}+\epsilon \frac{\partial w}{\partial Z}=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we define $\epsilon \equiv k_{0}^{\prime} \ell^{\prime}=\omega^{\prime 2} \ell^{\prime} / g \ll 1$ is a small ratio of micro-to-macro lengths, and $\sigma=\nu_{e} / \omega^{\prime} \ell^{\prime 2}=$ $\mathcal{O}(1)$. The dimensionless horizontal and vertical momentum equations are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial t}=-\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_{i}}+\sigma \epsilon\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u_{i}}{\partial x_{j} \partial x_{j}}+\epsilon^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{i}}{\partial Z^{2}}\right), \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}=-\frac{\partial p}{\partial Z}+\sigma\left(\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x_{j} \partial x_{j}}+\epsilon^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial Z^{2}}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Two-scale expansions are introduced, $x_{i} \rightarrow x_{i}+\epsilon X_{i}, u_{i} \rightarrow u_{i}^{(0)}+\epsilon u_{i}^{(1)}, w \rightarrow w^{(0)}+\epsilon w^{(1)}, p \rightarrow p^{(0)}+\epsilon p^{(1)}$. In view of linearity of Eqs (4), we assume the following solution for the micro-scale flow in the cell

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{u}_{i}^{(0)}=-K_{i j}(\vec{x}) \frac{\partial \widetilde{p}^{(0)}}{\partial X_{j}}, \quad \widetilde{p}^{(1)}=-A_{j}(\vec{x}) \frac{\partial \widetilde{p}^{(0)}}{\partial X_{j}}, \quad \widetilde{w}^{(0)}=-W(\vec{x}) \frac{\partial \widetilde{p}^{(0)}}{\partial Z} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitute these assumptions into (3) and (4), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial K_{i j}}{\partial x_{j}}=0, \quad-i K_{i j}=\delta_{i j}+\sigma \frac{\partial^{2} K_{i j}}{\partial x_{k} \partial x_{k}}-\frac{\partial A_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}, \quad x_{i} \in \Omega_{f} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(6) must be solved in the fluid part $\Omega_{f}$ in the unit cell of area $\Omega$, subjecting to the boundary conditions on the cylinders $K_{i j}=0, x_{i} \in S_{B}$ and periodicity boundaries $K_{i j}, A_{j}: \Omega-$ periodic. For uniqueness, we require $\left\langle A_{j}\right\rangle=0$. The leading order of (4) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
-i W=1+\sigma \frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial x_{j} \partial x_{j}}, \quad x \in \Omega_{f}, \quad-h<Z<-D \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with boundary conditions $W=0, \quad\left(x_{i}, Z\right) \in S_{B}$ and $z=-D,-h$ and $W: \Omega$-periodic, which can be solved by the finite element method (FEM). Equation (7) is solved in horizontal two-dimensional space, i.e. $W=W\left(x_{i}\right), i=1,2$, and is irrelevant to macro-coordinate $Z$.

Examples of the FEM results are shown in Fig. 2 for the cell problem governed by (6). After solving the canonical cell problem by FEM, we take the cell average for $K_{i j}$ and $W$, defined by $\langle f\rangle=\iint_{\Omega_{f}} f d x d y / \Omega$. Then we get Darcy's law $\left\langle\widetilde{u}_{i}^{(0)}\right\rangle=-\mathcal{K}_{i j} \partial \widetilde{p}^{(0)} / \partial X_{j}$ and the complex permeability defined by $\mathcal{K}_{i j}=\left\langle K_{i j}\right\rangle$. Similar numerical results for $\mathcal{W}=\langle W\rangle$ can be obtained when $\sigma$ is specified. For different wave parameters and eddy viscosity, results of $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{W}$ are shown in Fig.3.

Leading order velocities $\left\langle\widetilde{u}_{i}^{(0)}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle\widetilde{w}^{(0)}\right\rangle$ are macro-scale variables relating $X_{i}$ and $Z$. Cell average of continuity equation (3) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{f}\left(\frac{\partial\left\langle u_{i}^{(0)}\right\rangle}{\partial X_{i}}+\frac{\partial\left\langle w^{(0)}\right\rangle}{\partial Z}\right)+\iint_{\Omega_{f}} \frac{\partial u_{i}^{(1)}}{\partial x_{i}} d x d y=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Gauss theorem, periodical and no-slip boundary conditions, the integral above is zero. Hence we obtain the cell averaged continuity equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial\left\langle\widetilde{u}_{i}^{(0)}\right\rangle}{\partial X_{i}}+\frac{\partial\left\langle\widetilde{w}^{(0)}\right\rangle}{\partial Z}=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2: Illustration of FEM solutions for $K_{i j}$ and $A_{j}, j=1$. From numerical results, $\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{K}_{11}=\mathcal{K}_{22}=$ $0.3134+0.5764 i, \mathcal{K}_{12}=\mathcal{K}_{21}=0$. For $A_{j}$, we compare the gradient value, we obtained $\left\langle\partial A_{1} / \partial x\right\rangle=\left\langle\partial A_{2} / \partial y\right\rangle=$ $0.2506+0.1416 i . \mathcal{K}_{21}$ represents the $y$-direction velocity caused by the macro-scale pressure gradient in $x$-axis. Parameters are: porosity $\mathcal{N}=0.8743$, and dimensionless viscous coefficient $\sigma=0.0259$.


Figure 3: Comparison of magnitude of $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{W} . \sigma=\nu_{e} / \omega^{\prime} \ell^{\prime 2}$. Taking laboratary values: using constant $\nu_{e}=1.0 \mathrm{E}-3 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}, \ell^{\prime}=8 \mathrm{~cm} . h^{\prime}=0.5 \mathrm{~m} . \omega^{\prime}=4 \pi, 2 \pi, \pi \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, corresponding to $k h=8.05,2.08,0.77$.

Cell average of horizontal and vertical momentum equations,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-i\left\langle\widetilde{u}_{i}^{(0)}\right\rangle=-\mathcal{N} \frac{\partial \widetilde{p}^{(0)}}{\partial X_{i}}-\alpha_{i k} \frac{\partial \widetilde{p}^{(0)}}{\partial X_{k}}, \quad-i\left\langle\widetilde{w}^{(0)}\right\rangle=-\mathcal{N} \frac{\partial \widetilde{p}^{(0)}}{\partial Z}-\beta \frac{\partial \widetilde{p}^{(0)}}{\partial Z} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i k}=\frac{1}{\Omega} \oint d s\left[\sigma\left(\frac{\partial K_{i k}}{\partial x_{j}}+\frac{\partial K_{j k}}{\partial x_{i}}\right)-A_{k} \delta_{i j}\right] n_{j}, \quad \beta=\frac{\sigma}{\Omega} \oint d s \frac{\partial W}{\partial x_{j}} n_{j} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

does not depend on the vertical coordinate $Z . \mathcal{N}=\Omega_{f} / \Omega=1-\pi a^{2} / 4 \ell^{2}$ is the porosity of the cell. The values of $\alpha_{11}=\alpha_{22}=-\mathcal{N}-i \mathcal{K}, \alpha_{12}=\alpha_{21}=0$ and $\beta=-\mathcal{N}-i \mathcal{W}$. Substituting the momentum equations (10) into the continuity equation (9), we have the general pressure equation in form of the Laplace equation as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial X_{i}}\left(\mathcal{K} \frac{\partial \widetilde{p}^{(0)}}{\partial X_{i}}\right)+\mathcal{W} \frac{\partial^{2} \widetilde{p}^{(0)}}{\partial Z^{2}}=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In usual isotropic porous media, where there is no contrast of length scale in all directions, the macroscale equation is Laplace equation. Herein $x_{i}$ and $Z$ are in sharp contrast, hence (12) is not isotropic. $\mathcal{K}\left(X_{i}\right)$ and $\mathcal{W}\left(X_{i}\right)$ vary with horizontal macro-coordinate, and are irrelevant to vertical coordinate $Z$.

The value of dimensionless viscosity $\sigma$ depends on the eddy viscosity coefficient inside the viscous flow region. A simple energy balance model had been proposed for a similar problem (Mei et al, 2014). Note from (6), the dimensionless viscosity affects the complex number $\mathcal{K}$. Therefore the turbulent viscosity $\nu_{e}$ affects not only the dissipation but also the phase difference between the velocity and the pressure gradient.

### 2.3 Matching conditions

For a linear problem, we expect a solution in form of $\widetilde{p}^{(0)}=P e^{-i t}$. We require the velocity to be continuous at $X= \pm L$ for $-h \leq Z<-D$, and to vanish for $-D \leq Z \leq 0$. At cylinder array region, the average horizontal velocity is $\left\langle\widetilde{u}^{(0)}\right\rangle$. Combing with the definition $\left\langle\widetilde{u}^{(0)}\right\rangle=-\mathcal{K} \partial P / \partial X$, so we have $\partial \Phi / \partial X=-\mathcal{K} \partial P / \partial X$ for $-h \leq Z<-D$ and $\partial \Phi / \partial X=0$ for $-D \leq Z<0$. Another matching condition is continuous of potential or pressure, i.e. $i \Phi=P$ for $-h \leq Z<-D$.

## 3 NUMERICAL PROCEDURE

For finite length platform in 2D space, the general solution of (12) $P=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{n}$ is rewritten as $P_{0}(X)=$ $p_{0} X+q_{0}, P_{n}(X)=p_{n} \sinh \lambda_{n} X+q_{n} \cosh \lambda_{n} X, n=1,2, \ldots$ with $\lambda_{n}=K_{n} \sqrt{\mathcal{W} / \mathcal{K}}, K_{n}=n \pi /(h-D) . p_{n}$ and
$q_{n}$ for $n=0,1,2,3 \ldots$ are complex coefficients to be determined from the other boundary conditions. Using the matching conditions at $X= \pm L$, we can obtained the unknowns through Ritz method numerically. Convergence tests have been carried out to find the order $n$ in series expansions of $\Phi$ and $P$. Preliminary verifications of the calculation procedure are examined through comparison with open water flows by setting $\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{W}=i$ and the porosity $\mathcal{N}=1$. To verify present numerical solution, we compare the numerical results with Stoker's solution for a thin plate slab with $D=0$. By replacing the value of $\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{W}$, and $\mathcal{N}$ in the code, we can calculate the full problem straightforward.

## 4 TRANSMISSION AND REFLECTION

For the case platform without pile array, the phase difference between refection and transmission wave is $(-1)^{n} \pi / 2$ for $k_{n}<k<k_{n+1}$. When there is pile array, the difference is $\pi / 2$ for short waves when the draught is large, e.g. $D / h=0.2 \sim 0.3$. However, the phase difference is zero for infinite long waves. The phase difference is gradually increase to $\pi / 2$ when $k h$ increases, as shown in Fig.4. For no piles cases, the phase angle of transmission waves has a sharp jump $\pi$. When pile array is in existence, there is a smooth transition. The transmission coefficient $b_{0}$ is smaller in for pile supported platform than that of no piles, indicating the eddy viscosity can dissipate a lot of wave energy. Due to the existing of cylinders, the reflection coefficient $c_{0}$ is larger in cylinder region.


Figure 4: Comparison of reflection and transmission coefficient. $L / h=1$.

## 5 CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORKS

Using homogenization theory, the scattering problem of free surface waves around cylinder arrays and platform is investigated. Preliminary results of a two dimensional problem are obtained, and viscous effects on the transmission and reflection coefficients are discussed. The proposed semi-analytical method can be easily extended to three dimensional problems with complex geometry profile of the platform. More numerical results will be reported in the conference.
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